

Iowa Department of Human Services

Mental Health and Disability Services Redesign Progress Report

Rick Shults
Mental Health & Disability Services
February 8, 2017

Mental Health and Disability Services (MHDS) Report

- Required by 2016 Iowa Acts, Chapter 1139, Section 89
- Purpose
 - Report progress on implementation of the adult MHDS redesign
 - Identify challenges faced in achieving redesign goals
- Report reviews
 - Progress of the MHDS Regions
 - Current environment of the MHDS System
 - Challenges in the MHDS System

Scope of the Report

- Improving the MHDS system is a journey
- MHDS system has made many positive steps forward
- Much is still left to do
- Changes have occurred that effect the MHDS system
- Department provided a broader view of the MHDS system beyond the MHDS Regions
- The report reviews:
 - The current environment
 - The challenges
 - Recommended next steps

Key Findings for the MHDS System

- Small number of individuals with a mental illness (MI), intellectual disability (ID), or co-occurring substance use disorder (SUD) that also have multiple complex needs, are inadequately served or served in higher levels of care than necessary
- Lack of clarity on which entities are responsible for ensuring appropriate services for these individuals

Key Findings for the MHDS System

- Most MHDS providers do not have capacity to serve individuals with severe and multiple complex needs
 - Created the perception that more inpatient psychiatric, resource center, and psychiatric medical institution for children (PMIC) beds are needed
 - Not a complete and effective continuum of services to serve those with the most severe and complex needs
- No point of responsibility for non-clinical services such as housing and transportation,
 - Critical for persons with severe MI or an ID to successfully live in the community

Key Findings for the MHDS System

- Lack of collaboration by Medicaid Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) and the Regions to achieve statewide goals and outcomes to improve the MHDS system
- Sufficient funding exists to improve the array of services to achieve better outcomes for the individuals served

An Array of Services



Adult Mental Health Service and Support Array

All Mental Health Conditions

Moderately Severe Conditions

Acute or Very Severe Conditions

Prevention and outreach services

Screening, assessment and evaluation

Effective individual, group and family therapies

Peer and caregiver education and supports

Transportation services

Integrated mental health and primary care

Medications

Case management and care coordination

Integrated mental health and substance use treatment

Employment and education supports

Housing with supportive services

Skill-building, recreation and daily living services

Intensive outpatient services

Assertive Community Treatment (ACT)

Jail diversion and reentry services

Crisis intervention and stabilization

Hospital and residential care

NAMI • 3803 N. Fairfax Drive • Arlington, VA 22203 • www.nami.org

System Recommendations

 Provide a more complete and effective array of supports and treatment for individuals with severe multiple complex needs including 24 hour a day/7 days a week residential services

Key Findings for MHDS Regions

- 14 Regions successfully established
- Areas of concern:
 - Continuity of leadership for a few Regions is not consistent as leadership is rotated among county staff
 - A small number of Regions do not combine county funds
 - Several Regions include too few county residents to operate effectively and efficiently
- Regions generally provide core services to core populations that meet access standards
 - Core services are not consistent in quality or quantity across the state

Key Findings for MHDS Regions

- Optional core plus services such as crisis and jail diversion services are available in some regions
- Evidence-based practices are being developed, but more progress is needed
- Most Regions have sufficient MHDS levy authority and fund balances to operate at current service levels for several years
 - Regions state that current MHDS levy limits create the perception that some counties are subsidizing others
 - If Regional responsibilities are expanded, additional funding may be needed in the future
 - Primarily a tax policy issue

MHDS Region Recommendations

- Regions should:
 - Have a minimum number of county residents,
 - Pool county funding, and
 - Maintain continuity of leadership
- Regions and MCOs should cooperate and coordinate to fund all Core and Core Plus services
- Regions should provide critical, non-clinical services such as housing and transportation
- Regions' responsibility for serving individuals with the most severe and complex needs should be clarified

Conclusion

- Much progress has been made
- More progress is needed
 - Increased and improved array of services for individuals with the greatest needs
 - Clear definition of roles for accountability and responsibility for both clinical and non-clinical services
 - Increased coordination and cooperation between MHDS Regions and MCOs